

# Journal Pre-proof

Laparoscopic single-stage orchidopexy followed by groin exploration: the best two-stage orchidopexy?

Karim Awad, Mohamed Sameh Shalaby, Laura Jackson, Mark N. Woodward



PII: S1477-5131(25)00581-9

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpurol.2025.10.018>

Reference: JPUROL 5652

To appear in: *Journal of Pediatric Urology*

Received Date: 13 May 2025

Revised Date: 6 October 2025

Accepted Date: 24 October 2025

Please cite this article as: Awad K, Shalaby MS, Jackson L, Woodward MN, Laparoscopic single-stage orchidopexy followed by groin exploration: the best two-stage orchidopexy?, *Journal of Pediatric Urology*, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpurol.2025.10.018>.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2025 Journal of Pediatric Urology Company. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights are reserved, including those for text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.

## Title page

# Laparoscopic single-stage orchidopexy followed by groin exploration: the best two-stage orchidopexy?

Karim Awad 1,2, Mohamed Sameh Shalaby 1, Laura Jackson 1, Mark N Woodward 1

1Department of Paediatric Surgery, Bristol Royal Hospital for Children, Bristol, UK

2Department of Paediatric Surgery, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt

Correspondence: Karim Awad

Address: Department of Paediatric Surgery

Bristol Royal Children's Hospital

Upper Maudlin Street Bristol

BS2 8BJ

Title: Mr Karim Awad

FRCS Paed Surg, MD Paediatric Surg

Position: Consultant Paediatric Surgeon and Urologist

Ass. Professor of Paediatric surgery and Urology

Mobile: +447748604833

Work: +441173427094

Email: [karim.awad@uhbw.nhs.uk](mailto:karim.awad@uhbw.nhs.uk)

Karim\_abaza03@hotmail.com

1    Extended summary/abstract:

2    **Laparoscopic single-stage orchidopexy followed by groin exploration: the best two-stage**  
3    **orchidopexy?**

4    **Aim:**

5    Various laparoscopic approaches have been described for managing intra-abdominal testicles.  
6    We aimed to evaluate the outcomes of patients who had laparoscopic single stage vessel sparing  
7    (LSSVO) with regards to testicular atrophy, testicular ascent, and with special emphasis on surgical  
8    outcomes of a subsequent groin exploration for testicular ascent.

9

10    **Methods:**

11    Retrospective review of patients who had LSSVO at a UK tertiary paediatric surgery centre between  
12    2006-2024. We included all patients under 16 years following LSSVO who had complete follow up.  
13    We analysed age at operation, laterality, pre-and post-operative description of testicular size,  
14    whether tension was present on fixing the testis, and testicular position at follow-up. In addition, we  
15    evaluated the outcomes of patients who had recurrent testicular ascent requiring groin-based redo  
16    surgery.

17    **Results:**

18    105 patients had LSSVO over the 18 year period. Mean age at operation was 2 years and mean  
19    follow up was 19 months. The testis size at time of surgery was subjectively described rather than  
20    objectively measured. The scrotal position after surgery and tension was recorded.  
21    First post-op follow up was at 6 months. Twenty-six testicles (24%) had ascended requiring a groin  
22    approach for further mobilisation; all were scrotal at 6 months follow up with no testicular atrophy.

1 We found no association between tension at the time of LSSVO, age or associated anomalies with  
2 the risk of needing further surgery.

3

4

5 **Discussion:**

6

7 Laparoscopic Fowler-Stephen's orchidopexy remains the standard approach for the intra-abdominal  
8 testis although vessel division may decrease germ cell count. We preferred sparing the testicular  
9 vessels, accepting that a second procedure involving inguinal incision may be required. We had no  
10 cases of testicular atrophy, in comparison to the up to 25% rate in the literature for vessel dividing  
11 orchidopexy.

12 24% of our cohort required a second groin-based operation to bring the testis to a more satisfactory  
13 scrotal position, compared to a recurrent ascent rate of 0-14% in the literature. We accepted this  
14 higher recurrence rate as the groin exploration has proven to be technically straightforward, and as  
15 there were no cases of subsequent testicular atrophy.

16

17 **Conclusion:**

18 LSSVO, paired with groin exploration for recurrent testicular ascent when needed, achieved a scrotal  
19 testis in all patients with no testicular atrophy, whilst preserving the testicular blood supply and  
20 avoiding any potential effects on its histology. It has the added benefit over other 2-stage techniques  
21 of avoiding both a 2<sup>nd</sup> stage in 76% of patients and the need for repeat laparoscopy.

22

1 **Manuscript:**

2

3 **Laparoscopic single-stage orchidopexy followed by groin exploration: the best two-stage**  
4 **orchidopexy?**

5

6 **Introduction:**

7 By 6 months of age, 1% of full term infants have an undescended testis, with approximately 20%  
8 being impalpable [1,2]. Various laparoscopic approaches have been described for managing intra-  
9 abdominal testicles including the Fowler-Stephens vessel-dividing orchidopexy (single- or two-stage);  
10 single stage vessel-sparing orchidopexy; gubernacular sparing orchidopexy; and the testicular  
11 traction technique (Shehata technique) [3,4,5]. The key question for the surgeon is how to decide  
12 which orchidopexy is best in which anatomical situation.

13

14 We evaluated the outcomes of our patients who had laparoscopic single-stage vessel-sparing  
15 orchidopexy (LSSVO) over the past 18 years with regards to testicular atrophy, testicular ascent, and  
16 with special emphasis on surgical outcomes of a subsequent groin exploration for testicular ascent.  
17 We also looked at potential correlations between degree of tension of the pexed testis and patient  
18 age at time of surgery and surgical outcomes.

19

20

21

22

1 **Materials and methods:**

2

3 This was a retrospective review of patients with intra-abdominal testicles who had LSSVO at a  
4 tertiary paediatric surgery and urology centre in the UK between 2006-2024. All data were collected  
5 using a prospectively maintained departmental surgical database and the trust electronic records.

6

7 We included all patients under 16 years of age following LSSVO who had at least one postoperative  
8 follow up. The decision to proceed to a LSSVO was based on the surgeon's assessment of the intra-  
9 abdominal testis with regards to distance from internal ring and an evaluation of testicular vessel  
10 length based on the ability to bring the testicle to the contralateral ring with little or no tension after  
11 mobilization. Intra-abdominal testis were laparoscopically mobilised on a peritoneal pedicle of vas  
12 deferens and testicular vessels; re-routed medial to the inferior epigastric vessels; brought to a  
13 scrotal sub dartos pouch via a size 11 STEP port; and fixed to the median raphe by 3-0 vicryl.  
14 Parents/carers were informed of the possibility of needing a planned 2 stage laparoscopic Fowler  
15 Stephen's orchidopexy or Shehata technique based on surgeon's assessment (i.e., if testis was felt to  
16 be in a high intra-abdominal position or if degree of tension was felt to be too much to bring to the  
17 scrotum after testicular mobilization). Complications and outcomes of various procedures were  
18 clearly highlighted in clinic and at time of obtaining informed consent for surgery including the 24%  
19 risk of testicular ascent in LSSVO requiring further groin exploration.

20

21

22 We excluded patients who had any different surgical technique, patients with abnormal gonads or,  
23 disorders of sex development (DSD), and those with incomplete data or who were lost to follow up.

1 We collected and analysed demographic data, age at operation, laterality, pre- and post-operative  
2 description of testicular size, presence or absence of tension on pexing the testis into the scrotum,  
3 and testicular position at follow-up. In addition, we evaluated the outcomes of patients who had  
4 recurrent testicular ascent requiring groin-based redo surgery aimed at mobilising the testis to a  
5 more optimal scrotal position. This procedure involved a groin skin incision centred over the external  
6 ring. The testis was identified, freed from any scar tissue, and mobilised on the testicular vessels and  
7 vas deferens through the external ring and muscle to the peritoneum. The testis was secured to the  
8 median raphe as above.

9

10 Data were analysed using Microsoft Excel 2010 and GraphPad Prism 10.2.0. Categorical data are  
11 presented as percentages and continuous data (due to the non-normal distribution of some  
12 parameters) are presented as median value and 25th-75th percentiles. For statistical analysis the  
13 Chi-Square test was employed for categorical data and Mann-Whitney test for continuous data. P-  
14 value <0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

15 Data were collected with institutional review board approval. Ref: PSURG/SE/2024-25/03.

16

17 **Results:**

18

19 105 patients had LSSVO with complete follow-up over the 18 year period (Figure 1). The median age  
20 at operation was 1.4 years (25th and 75th percentiles; 1.0 – 2.3 years). The median operative time  
21 for the procedure was 50 minutes (41 – 65 minutes), and the median follow-up was 10 months (6-22  
22 months). 40 patients had bilateral intra-abdominal testis, 39 had right and 26 left intra-abdominal  
23 testis. (Table 1)

1

2 The testis size at time of surgery was not objectively measured and was described as small, normal,  
3 and/or equal to the other side, or uncommented on (Table 2). The scrotal position after surgery was  
4 recorded (Table 3), and tension, when documented, was described as 'some tension' in 35 and no  
5 tension in 17.

6

7 Most patients had their first post-op follow up at 6 months, then further follow up was planned  
8 depending on the testicular position at this review (Table 4). A 2<sup>nd</sup> stage was recommended when  
9 the testis was not in a scrotal position on follow up 6 months or more after the initial  
10 surgery. Twenty-six testicles (24%) had ascended and required a groin approach for further  
11 mobilisation; all were scrotal at 6 month follow up. Further follow up was arranged if there was  
12 uncertainty about testicular scrotal position or size. The median operative time for the second  
13 surgery was 41 minutes (30-53 minutes). Only one patient had bilateral groin exploration. No  
14 intraoperative complications were encountered. None of the patients in this cohort had testicular  
15 atrophy at final follow up, including the 26 patients that required redo surgery.

16

17

18 We found no association between presence or absence of tension at the time of LSSVO, age or  
19 associated anomalies with the risk of needing further surgery (Table 5)

20

21

22 **Discussion:**

1

2 Laparoscopic staged Fowler-Stephen's orchidopexy remains the standard approach for the intra-  
3 abdominal testis in many paediatric surgical centres, although testicular vessel division may  
4 decrease germ cell count [6-7]. In our centre, our preference has been to spare the testicular vessels  
5 where possible, accepting that a second procedure may be required, involving an inguinal incision  
6 through minimally scarred tissue.

7 In our cohort, we had no cases of testicular atrophy, in comparison to up to 5% in published series,  
8 accepting that this is a retrospective review with no formal measurement of testicular size [8-16].  
9 Not surprisingly, the reported atrophy rate is higher following surgery involving vessel division  
10 (whether single- or two-stage), ranging from 0-25% [17-18].

11 There is no standardised definition of testicular atrophy, though Bidault-Jourdainne et al describe  
12 small as a length under 10 mm, and atrophic as under 5 mm or absent [19]. We found comparing the  
13 operated testes to the contralateral one to be a useful guide, however, this becomes less reliable in  
14 bilateral cases. 38% of our patients fell into the later category and their results need to be  
15 interpreted with caution due to the aforementioned. Shehata et al similarly relied on comparing the  
16 operated testis to the contralateral size and considered a size less than 75% of the contralateral  
17 normal testis as a failure. Similarly 23% of their patients had Bilateral undescended testis.[20]

18 We found no association between presence or absence of tension at the time of LSSVO with the risk  
19 of needing further surgery. Bagga et al reported testicular atrophy in the absence of tension on the  
20 spermatic cord and have attributed it to a vascular event affecting testicular blood flow such as  
21 compression in the artificially created neo hiatus or subclinical torsion in the dartos pouch. They  
22 reported that tension during LSSVO affected their testicular viability outcomes [21]. This was not  
23 seen in our cohort where all testicles requiring an additional groin approach appeared viable  
24 regardless of the recorded tension at time of the initial operation.

1 Similarly, no association was found between age of patient at time of LSSVO and the risk of needing  
2 further surgery, whereas other studies reported that older age was a predictive factor for testicular  
3 assent after LSSVO in their cohort. [21].

4 24% of our cohort required a second operation through a groin incision to bring the testis to a more  
5 satisfactory scrotal position, whereas previous studies have demonstrated a recurrent ascent rate of  
6 0-14% [8-16]. We accept the need for a 2<sup>nd</sup> procedure in this proportion of patients as the end result  
7 in our series was a scrotal testis with no cases of complete atrophy. The second procedure has  
8 proven to be technically straightforward as we encountered minimal groin scarring, however as one  
9 would expect scarring is encountered around the testis due to the previous pexy. Although it's self-  
10 evident from the nature of the surgery, it's worth emphasising that 76% of our patients had a single  
11 procedure which is a better proportion than the 0% of patients who had planned 2-stage  
12 procedures.

13 Follow up is limited in this study and due to the potential risk of recurrent ascent with pubertal  
14 growth spurt, all carers and patients are advised on discharge to perform regular scrotal  
15 examination.

16 We suggest that LSSVO may be the best approach for intra-abdominal testicles that can be brought  
17 to, or close to, the scrotum as a 2<sup>nd</sup> stage groin procedure, if needed, can then achieve tension-free  
18 orchidopexy with vessel preservation, no testicular loss, and no need for further laparoscopy. This  
19 study was limited by its retrospective nature; the lack of objective assessment of testicular size; and  
20 the subjective assessment as to which testicles are suitable for LSSVO. Clearly there will be higher  
21 intra-abdominal testicles that would benefit from Shehata or Fowler-Stephen's orchidopexy, and  
22 there remains a need for more objective criteria to guide surgeons between these options.

23

24

1 **Conclusion:**

2 Laparoscopic single-stage vessel-sparing orchidopexy, paired with groin exploration for recurrent  
3 testicular ascent when needed, achieves a scrotal testis in all patients with no testicular atrophy ,  
4 whilst preserving the testicular blood supply and avoiding any potential effects on its histology. It  
5 has the added benefit over other 2-stage techniques of avoiding a 2<sup>nd</sup> stage in 76% of patients and  
6 avoiding the need for repeat laparoscopy. Studies with longer follow up would be useful to confirm  
7 our outcomes.

8 **Funding source**

9 This work hasn't received any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-  
10 for-profit sectors.

11 **Ethical approval**

12 Ethical approval wasn't required. Data were collected with institutional review board approval. Ref:  
13 PSURG/SE/2024-25/03

14 **Conflict of Interest**

15 The authors declare no conflict of interest

16 **References:**

17

18 1. Sijstermans K, Hack WWM, Meijer RW, Van Der Voort-Doedens LM. The frequency of  
19 undescended testis from birth to adulthood: a review. Int J Androl 2008;31(1):1e11.  
20 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2605.2007.00770.x>.

1        2. Cendron M, Huff DS, Keating MA, Snyder HM 3rd, Duckett JW. Anatomical, morphological  
 2        and volumetric analysis: A review of 759 cases of testicular maldescent. *J Urol*  
 3        1993;149:570-3.

4        3. Fowler R, Stephens FD. The role of testicular vascular anatomy in the salvage of high  
 5        undescended testis. *Aust N Z J Surg*, 29 (1959), pp. 92-106

6        4. Ransley PG, Vordermark JS, Caldamone AA *et al*. Preliminary ligation of the gonadal vessels  
 7        prior to orchidopexy for the intra-abdominal testicle: a staged Fowler-Stephens procedure.  
 8        *World J Urol*, 2 (1984), pp. 266-268

9        5. Shehata S, Ismail M, Abouheba M, Elrouby A. Staged laparoscopic traction-orchiopexy for  
 10       intraabdominal testis ( Shehata technique ) Stretching the limits for preservation of  
 11       testicular vasculature. *J Pediatr Surg* 2016;51:211e5.  
 12       <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2015.10.063>.

13       6. Rosito NC, Koff WJ, da Silva Oliveira TL, Cerski CT, Salle JL. Volumetric and histological  
 14       findings in intra-abdominal testes before and after division of spermatic vessels. *J Urol*  
 15       2004;171:2430-3.

16       7. AbouZeid AA, Moussa MH, Shalaby MS, Safoury HS, El-naggar O, Hay SA. Feasibility and  
 17       safety of monopolar diathermy as an alternative to clip ligation in laparoscopic Fowler-  
 18       Stephens orchiopexy. *J Pediatr Surg*  
 19       2012;47:1907e12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2012.04.012>. Samadi AA, Palmer  
 20       LS, Franco I. Laparoscopic orchiopexy: Report of 203 cases with review of diagnosis,  
 21       operative technique, and lessons learned. *J. Endourol*. 2003, 17, 365–368.

22       8. Samadi AA, Palmer LS, Franco I. Laparoscopic orchiopexy: Report of 203 cases with review of  
 23       diagnosis, operative technique, and lessons learned. *J. Endourol*. 2003, 17, 365–368.

1 9. Baker L, Docimo S, Surer I, Peters C, Cisek L, Diamond D. et al. A multi-institutional analysis  
2 of laparoscopic orchidopexy. *BJU Int.* 2001, 87, 484–489.

3 10. Chang B, Palmer L, Franco I. Laparoscopic orchidopexy: A review of a large clinical series.  
4 *BJU Int.* 2001, 87, 490–493.

5 11. Moursy E.E, Gamal W, Hussein MM. Laparoscopic orchiopexy for non-palpable testes:  
6 Outcome of two techniques. *J. Pediatr. Urol.* 2011, 7, 178–181.

7 12. Alzahem, A. Laparoscopy-assisted orchiopexy versus laparoscopic two-stage fowler stephens  
8 orchiopexy for nonpalpable testes:Comparative study. *Urol. Ann.* 2013, 5, 110–114.

9 13. Pogorelić Z, Šitum J, Barić T, Šitum M. The Safety and Effectiveness of Single-Stage, Vessel-  
10 Preserving,Laparoscopic Orchiopexy for Intra-Abdominal Testes in Pediatric Patients: A 10-  
11 Year Single-Center Experience *J. Clin. Med.* 2024, 13, 2045.  
12 <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm13072045>

13

14 14. Alam A, Delto JC, Blachman-Braun R, Wayne G, Mittal AG, Castellan M, et al. Staged Fowler-  
15 Stephens and Single-stage Laparoscopic Orchiopexy for Intra-abdominal Testes: Is There a  
16 Difference? A Single Institution Experience *UROLOGY* 101: 104–110, 2017

17 15. Al Hindi S, Khalaf Z. The outcome of laparoscopic assisted orchidopexy in very young  
18 children: A single hospital experience. *J.Pediatr. Urol.* 2021, 17, 536.e1–536.e7.

19 16. KHAN MAH, KHALID IU, KAMRAN RA, MUTEE ULLAH MAJID MU. Single Stage Laparoscopic  
20 Assisted Orchidopexy in Children 751 P J M H S Vol. 14, NO. 3, JUL – SEP 2020

21 17. Lindgren BW, Franco I, Blick S, Levitt SB, Brock WA, Palmer LS, et al. Laparoscopic Fowler-  
22 Stephens orchiopexy for the high abdominal testis. *J Urol* 1999;162:990-4.

1 18. Powell, C.; McIntosh, J.; Murphy, J.P.; Gatti, J. Laparoscopic orchiopexy for intra-abdominal  
2 testes—A single institution review. *J.Laparoendosc. Adv. Surg. Tech.* 2013, 23, 481–483.

3 19. Bidault-Jourdainne V, Botto N, Matthieu Peycelon M, Carricaburu E, Lopez P, Bonnard A,  
4 Blanc T, El-Ghoneimi A, Paye-Jaouen A. Staged laparoscopic orchiopexy of intraabdominal  
5 testis: Spermatic vessels division versus traction? A multicentric comparative study. *J.Purol*  
6 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpurol.2024.01.01>

7 20. Shehata S, Shalaby R, Ismail M, Abouheba M, Elrouby A. Staged laparoscopic traction-  
8 orchiopexy for intraabdominal testis (Shehata technique) Stretching the limits for  
9 preservation of testicular vasculature. *Journal of Pediatric surgery*  
10 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpedsurg.2015.10.063>

11 21. Bagga D, Teckchandani N, Kumar V, Grover SB, Yadav DK, Acharya SK. Predictive factors for  
12 successful vessel-intact laparoscopic orchidopexy for intra-abdominal testes. *Journal of*  
13 *Paediatric urology* <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpurol.2012.11.017>

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

12

**Tables****Table 1: Patient Demographics**

Intra-abdominal testis laterality	
Bilateral	40 (38%)
Right	39 (37%)
Left	26 (25%)
Median age in years at time of initial surgery (25th - 75th percentile)	1.4 (1.0 – 2.3)
Median age at time of 2nd surgery (25th - 75th percentile)	2.8 (2.9 – 4.2)

**Table 2: Testicular size at time of LSSVO**

Testicular size at time of surgery	Number of testes
Equal to other side	1 (1%)
Good/Reasonable/Normal	19 (18%)
Small	16 (15%)
Smaller than other side	4 (4%)
No comment	65 (62%)

**Table 3: Testicular position after LSSVO**

Testicular position after surgery	Number of testes
Scrotal neck	1 (1%)
High scrotal	6 (6%)
Mid scrotal	1 (1%)
Low scrotal	12 (11%)
Scrotal (Not specified)	85 (81%)

**Table 4: Testicular position at clinic review post LSSVO**

Testicular position at clinic review	Number of testes
Upper/high scrotal	16 (15%)
Mid scrotal	2 (2%)
Low scrotal	3 (3%)
Scrotal (Not specified)	29 (27.5%)
Good	29 (27.5%)
Inguinal	26 (25%)

**Table 5: Relation between presence or absence of tension at the time of LSSVO, age or associated anomalies with the risk of needing further surgery**

	Successful	Need for additional groin surgery	P-value
Tension at time of LSSVO	20	15	0.17
No tension at time of LSSVO	13	4	
Associated abnormalities (syndrome/genetic/hypospadias)	9	5	0.31
Isolated UDT	70	21	
Mean age in years	1.99	1.71	0.54

P-Value<0.05 was considered to be significant.

## Figures

### Figure 1

Figure number 1: Flow chart of All patients with impalpable undescended testis during study period

Journal Pre-proof

